COSC 462


Parallel Sorting

Piotr Luszczek

## Sequential Sorting: Two Examples

- Quicksort
  - $-\Theta(N \log N)$
  - Fast in practice
  - Unstable
    - Data with identical keys might end up in a different order
      - Many applications require those data to retain their order
  - Sensitive to median selection
    - Worst case complexity is quadratic
- Heap sort
  - $-\Theta(N \log N)$
  - Slower in practice
    - Building and maintaining virtual tree of data
  - Stable
  - Worst case complexity is the same as the average case

## Naive Parallel Sort (Don't Use!)




N/P+log P

2<sup>nd</sup> largest value:

Repeat for each of N elements

- Complexity
  - $(N/P + log P) * N = N^2/P + N log P$
- Very simple implementation:


## Improved Naive Parallel Sort




Repeat for each of N elements

- Complexity
  - N/P log N/P + (log P) \* N =
    = N/P log N/P + N log P
- Very simple implementation: quicksort(); for (e = 0; e < N; ++e) MPI\_Reduce(..., MPI\_MAX)


## Main Problem with Naive Implementations



### Towards Better Parallel Sort



# Parallel Sort Using a Median: Hyperquicksort



- How to select median?
  - Pick a process and value at random
  - Sort values locally and pick a local median
  - Global communication required for better median
- Keep the local values sorted
  - Initial cost: Θ(N/P log N/P)
  - Merge local old values with global new values: Θ(N/P)

## Divisibility, Network, and Median Selection

#### Ideally

- N is power of 2
  - Good load balancing
- P is power of 2
  - Easy to find partner processor at each recursion level
- Network is a hypercube
- Median selection
  - Local median is easy to find
    - Local values are kept sorted
  - Local median is usually not a global one
    - Imagine data that is already sorted
  - Bad median will create a load imbalance
    - Local data is no longer power of 2

