HPL is a portable implementation of the High Performance LINPACK Benchmark for distributed memory computers.

- Algorithm: recursive panel factorization, multiple lookahead depths, bandwidth reducing swapping
- Easy to install: only needs MPI+BLAS or VSIPL
- Highly scalable and efficient: from the smallest cluster to the largest supercomputers in the world

**HISTORY OF THE BENCHMARK**

1974 LINPACK software is released: Solves systems of linear equations in FORTRAN 66
1977 LINPACK 100 released: Measures system performance in MFlop/s and solves 100x100 linear systems
1986 LINPACK 1000 released: Any language allowed and the linear system of size 1000 can be used
1989 LINPACKDv2 released: Extends random number generator from 16384 to 65536
1991 LINPACK Table 3 (Highly Parallel Computing): Any size linear system is allowed
1993 TOP500 first released with CM-5 running the LINPACK benchmark at nearly 60 Gflop/s
1996 9th TOP500 is released with the 1st system breaking the 1 TFlop/s barrier: ASCI Red from Sandia National Laboratory
2000 HPLv1 is released by Antoine Petitet, Jack Dongarra, Clint Whaley, and Andy Cleary
2008 31st TOP500 is released with the 1st system breaking the 1 Pflop/s barrier: Roadrunner from Los Alamos National Laboratory
HPLv2 is released: The new version features a 64-bit random number generator that prevents the benchmark failures from generating singular matrices which was the problem with the old generator
2009 Peta FLOP/s are spreading: The upgrades of the machines hosted at ORNL results in shattering the 2 Pflop/s theoretical peak barrier for Cray's XTS Jaguar. Also, the first academic system reaches 1 Pflop/s theoretical peak: University of Tennessee's Kraken
2010 GPUs are coming: The performance growth at petascale is now fueled by a new breed of GPUs that now power systems with over 2 Pflop/s in LINPACK performance
2011 Multicore strikes back by exceeding 8 Pflop/s using over half a million of modified SPARC VIII cores
2012 Even more cores needed to be #1: a million and a half cores were required to break 15 Pflop/s barrier
2013 Intel back on top with over half of 100 Pflop/s of peak performance coming mostly from its Intel Xeon Phi accelerators
2014 Slow down in top ten of the TOP500 continues while the accelerators contribute increasing fraction of total Pflop/s
2016 New barriers broken by TaihuLight, a Chinese-built supercomputer, that featured over 10 million cores and gets close to 100 Pflop/s performance
2018 US supercomputer located at ORNL nearly reached 200 Pflop/s peak performance mark in double precision and over 1 Eflop/s in half precision
2019 Exascale machines were announced as part of the United States' CORAL2 program. These machines include Aurora (ANL), El Capitan (LLNL), and Frontier (ORNL). All three will be based on Cray's Shasta design and will feature hardware accelerators from AMD and Intel.
2020 Confirmed press releases announced exascale machines installed in China without an official TOP500 entry
2022 Exascale was finally reached: Frontier supercomputer at ORNL achieved over 1 Eflop/s while running HPL
2023 Frontier improved its performance by nearly 10% which is more than the Rmax performance of Summit housed in the same building at ORNL

HPL was used to obtain a number of results in the current TOP500 list, including some of the entries at the top of the list.
The original LINPACK Benchmark is, in some sense, an accident. It was originally designed to assist users of the LINPACK package by providing information on execution times required to solve a system of linear equations. The first "LINPACK Benchmark" report appeared as an appendix in the LINPACK Users' Guide in 1979. Over the years, additional performance data was added, more as a hobby than anything else, and today the collection includes over 1300 different computer systems. In addition to the number of computers increasing, the scope of the benchmark has also expanded. The benchmark report describes the performance for solving a general dense matrix problem $Ax=b$ at three levels of problem size and optimization opportunity: 100 by 100 problem (inner loop optimization), 1000 by 1000 problem (three loop optimization – the whole program), and a scalable parallel problem – the HPL. New statistics were required to reflect the diversification of supercomputers, the enormous performance difference between low-end and high-end models, the increasing availability of massively parallel processing (MPP) systems, and the strong increase in computing power of the high-end models of workstation suppliers (SMP). To provide this new statistical foundation, the TOP500 list was created in 1993 to assemble and maintain a list of the 500 most powerful computer systems. The list is compiled twice a year with the help of high-performance computer experts, computational scientists, manufacturers, and the Internet community in general. In the list, computers are ranked by their performance on the HPL benchmark. With the unprecedented increase in performance comes the price of increased time the benchmark takes to complete. As the chart below shows, the time to completion is increasing with the number of cores. In 2009, the #1 entry reported a run that took over 18 hours. In 2011, this time increased to nearly 30 hours. To deal with this problem, a new version of HPL offered a partial run option. This allows the machine to run the benchmark in only a fraction of the time it takes to run the full version of the benchmark without losing much of the reported performance. Initial runs on a system with over 30,000 cores indicate only a 6% drop in performance with a 50% reduction in time. This becomes a viable alternative to, say, checkpointing to solve the problem of the rapidly increasing number of cores and the decrease of mean time between failures (MTBF) for large supercomputer installations.

As shown below in the performance-time curve, the time is given in relative terms as a fraction of the maximum attained performance. In this manner, both time and performance may coexist on the same Y-axis because they both vary between 0% and 100%. In this setting, it is now easy to perform a what-if analysis of the data as it is indicated with arrows. The question being answered by the figure is this: if the time to run the benchmark is reduced by 50% how much will the reported performance decrease? The answer is encouraging: the resulting performance drop will only be 5%. The sampled factorization provides the added benefit of stressing the entire system: the system matrix occupies the entire memory. Also, the result of the partial execution can be verified as rigorously, as is the case for the standard factorization.

**HPL 2.3**

HPL code has been modernized in 2020 with contemporary configuration options and is actively maintained on Github at:

https://github.com/icl-utk-edu/hpl
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Find out more at https://icl.utk.edu/hpl